



#### **PIARC** Technical Committee TC 4.5

Issue 4.5.2 : Having indicators representative of the condition of the geotechnical asset for road management.

**David Patterson** 



#### **Highways Agency UK**

- Senior Geotechnical Advisor
- david.patterson@highways.gsi.gov.uk

#### **Overview: key aspects considered-**

- Definition of the Geotechnical Asset
- Asset Management Framework
- Risk based assessment options
- Data management tools
- Application of Performance Indicators and

SMART data

23e Congrès mondial de la Route - Paris 2007

v1

## **Definition of the Geotechnical Asset**

v1

#### **Definition of the Geotechnical Asset – key elements**

- Earthworks and cuttings
- Road pavement formation
- Foundations to structures
- May include Drainage systems,

retaining structures & tunnel portals

- Additional considerations:
  - communication systems
  - noise/visibility barriers
  - 'soft' estate
  - 3<sup>rd</sup> party utilities
- Capital investment (20-30%)



### **Asset Management Framework**

#### **Asset Management Framework**



#### Reactive – unplanned to Proactive – planned



23e Congrès mondial de la Route - Paris 2007

#### Asset Management Framework: building blocks



#### EXAMPLE: UK Geotechnical Asset Management Standard (HD 41/03)

#### Planning, Inspection & Reporting methodology

Risk based assessment and prioritisation:

What + Where + When  $\Rightarrow$  Risk Level  $\Rightarrow$  Action



RISK MATRIX											
Risk Level NOW for observations of Class and Location Index NOW											
Location Index	Class										
	1A/1B/1C	1D	2A/2B	3A/3B	3C						
А	Severe	High	Medium	Negligible	Negligible						
В	Severe	Medium	Medium	Negligible	Negligible						
С	High	Medium	Low	Negligible	Negligible						
D	Medium	Low	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible						

 Internet based GIS (Geographical Information System) system

 Links Managers, Specialists, Agents (Design & Maintenance), researchers and 3<sup>rd</sup> party data suppliers

- Primary long term knowledge repository for Geotechnical and Drainage assets.
- Links to other asset data & systems
- Direct data input by Suppliers/Agents
- Access to tools for reporting & analysis (inc. Risk & Performance)

23e Congrès mondial de la Route - Paris 2007

v1







#### Link to associated investigation records & reports

http://www.hagdms.co.uk/index.cfm?fuseaction=home.ma	in&& - Microsoft Internet Explorer provided by GN	05							
File Edit View Favorites Tools Help		🖄 Adobe Acrobat - [	[521[1].pdf]						
🕞 Back 🗸 💬 - 💌 😰 🔥 🔎 Search 🔶 Favo	HA GDMS - Microsoft Internet Explorer provid	🔁 File Edit Docume	ent Tools View Window Help			_ 8 ×			
Address Attr://www.baddms.co.uk/index.cfm?fuseaction_bome.m	File Edit View Eavorites Tools Help	08858	👜 • 🛤 🖓 • 🔳 🕅 • • • •	ē					
						<u> </u>			
Mapping Borenoles Reports GAD (Conta	🛛 🕓 Back 🔹 💬 👻 📓 🏹 🔑 Se	2	The second se		BOBEHO	LE No.			
	Address Addres	okmar	SO	I mechanics dep	artment 5	21			
	Borehole Details	s Thumbnails Bo	CONTRACT RINGWAY 3 (Alterna	tive Route).	REPORT No. 4034/7	5/LL			
	Zoom to Shou		Client Eastern Road Constru (Essex Sub Unit).	etion Unit,	Ground Level 44.12 Cha./Co-ords.	m.C.D.			
B   <a>R </a>	HAGDMS Borehole Number		Site Address Ivychimneys to M11,	Baring Commenced 31.5.75 Baring Commissed 31.5.75					
□ ← MA Areas □ ← BGS Boreholes ▼ ⊕ Roreholes	Hole Reference Number Hole Type		Shell & Auser Boring 200 mm, d.e. to Rotary Dritting die to die to	m. ment.die.to m. m.	m. Circulation Fluid- Circulation Fluid-				
Trial Pits	Northing	Juent 1	Strikes Inflow Sealed at Data						
	Ground Level	S	Ground None m. m. Hole Water m. Casio	Depth © Depth					
521	Final Depth	GII	Record m Wate	r Lovel					
Report extents	Scheme Title	( s	Remarks						
GAD Observations	Project Number	latur							
	Source	Sign	Description	Scale 20mm = 1 m Sam	ngla No Type From T	blows			
🗹 🛲 Top slope 🚽	No AGS tables present		Tenenil	Depth Legend	77 J 0.15	a. 0.3 m.			
Image: Section slope   Image: Section slope	no Ado tubico present		Topsoil.	0.30 847	78 J 0.45				
	This herebole is associated with ?		Stiff brown clay with occasional	847	79 U 0.55 1.	00			
🗹 🗢 General detail	M25 (A10 - M11 SECTION)	5	1000 110100	F					
🗹 🖛 Rail tracks	View all holes for this report	Not							
W 27 Water course				1.75					
□ - Marker Posts	Documents ?		Stiff brown fissured clay with blue	日 848	30 J 1.90				
🗹 🛲 Trunk Roads			coloration on fissure surfaces and pockets of selenite crystels.	E - 848	31 U 2.00 2.	45			
	LaBorehole 521		Blue London Clay).	<u> </u>					
	Type: Do	1 of 2	2 ▶ ▶ 8.26 x 11.69 in □ H HH <u>·</u>			Þ			
	Filename:		Browse						
x: 547,101.333612, y: 200,307.655829 (METER) BoreHoles : 521 0 fea					•	<b>v</b> 1			
e de la companya de l	Add		(f)						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Internet	<b>-</b> .	<b>.</b> .	000-			
				Route	- Paris	こう()()7			

#### **Electronic Field Data Capture**

- GPS geo-referencing + PDA
- Display existing survey and aerial photography
- Improved speed and accuracy
- Field checking and update of existing data



# Performance Indicators for the Geotechnical Asset

v1

Good performance indicators for asset management should demonstrate:

- due regard for safety
- focus on customer and operational reliability
- responsible stewardship
- due regard for the environment
- efficient management
- Scope for continuous improvement

23e Congrès mondial de la Route - Paris 2007

v1

Indicators should be 'SMART' -

- <u>Specific</u> defining what you want to achieve.
- <u>Measurable</u> be able to measure whether you are meeting these objectives or not.
- <u>Achievable</u> Are the objectives you set, achievable and attainable?
- <u>Realistic</u> Can you realistically achieve the objectives with the resources you have?
- <u>Timely</u> When do you want to achieve the set objectives?

## In Short-term - Output Based Indicators

#### Asset features

- -Earthwork condition
- -Risk categorisation

#### Asset Management

- –Inspection progress
- -Remedial works progress
- -Works costs

### In Longer-Term - Outcome Based

- Number/frequency of earthwork failures (Deterioration Analysis)
- Delays to the highway network due to earthwork failures (Network Resilience)
- Injuries due to earthwork failures (Safety analysis)
- Earthwork asset value (Network Valuation)

#### **RoadMap for Development**



# **Application of Performance Indicators (and SMART data).**

v1

#### Satisfying Management Requirements: Performance Targets, Network evaluation and Valuation.

		Area	3 P	Principal Inspection and Risk Category Summary												
Sum of length in km	Road 💌															
Current Risk Level 💌	A1	A27	A3	A3(M)	A303	A308(M)	A31	A34	A404	A404(M)	A41	A435	M27	M4	Grand Total	
S		0.0407	0.0883			0.3018	0.0148	0.4659	0.0439		0.0053	0.0335			0.9942	
Н			0.2929	0.3898		0.0372	0.1753	4.1982	0.0047	0.0796	0.0372		0.012	1.3566	6.5835	
M			6.0572	1.6622		0.2267	0.3286	8.4497	1.8701	0.5296	0.0217		0.0022	7.3101	26.4581	
L	0.6984		4.4258	0.044		0.2137	0.026	52.2676	2.6867					0.049	60.4112	
N			1.0949	0.3737	0.2028	0.2973	0.1021	0.9451	0.0183		0.0318				3.066	
(blank)			5.583	5.932		0.853	0.121		4.67					60.9993	78.1583	
Grand Total	0.6984	0.0407	17.5421	8.4017	0.2028	1.9297	0.7678	66.3265	9.2937	0.6092	0.096	0.0335	0.0142	69.715	175.6713	

Area Basis

Inventory Index = 55% (Inspected length / Total Length)

Condition Index = 92% (% length < High Risk)



# Management of identified Hazards: prioritisation of defect repairs and preventative works.



#### Coordinated approach to asset data collation, storage and transfer: The Geotechnical Supply Chain



#### Integration of inventory information in a holistic (multi-disciplinary) manner: EXAMPLE – MAJOR PROJECT



23e Congrès mondial de la Route - Paris 2007

v1

# Integrated assessment of the consequences of new or potential future Risks.

# Man Made Risks

#### Source:

- •Oil & gas pipelines
- Chemical works
- Refineries
- Nuclear plants

#### Hazards:

- Explosion
- •Fire / smoke
- Noxious gas
- Radiation







**v**1

# Integrated assessment of the consequences of new or potential future Risks.

# Man Made Risks

#### Source:

- •Mining
- Landfill
- •structures
- •Water features

#### Hazards:

- •Explosion
- •Fire / smoke
- Noxious
- gas/leacheate
- Collapse & settlement
- •Flooding







# Asssessing the consequences of new or potential future Risks.

# Geo Risks

#### Source:

- •Extreme weather events
- •Sea level rise
- Soluble rocks
- Long term change
- •Ground chemistry

### Hazards:

- Erosion
- Flooding
- •Landslips
- Rockfalls
- Earthflows
- Collapse/settlement





# Asssessing the consequences of new or potential future Risks: EXAMPLE: RIVER FLOODING

#### Cause

Extreme weathereventsInadequate drainage

#### Hazards

Carriageway floodingEarthworks erosion / failure



Asssessing the consequences of new or potential future Risks: Risk= Hazard x consequence: EXAMPLE: RIVER FLOOD

### Flooding Assessment:

- Assessed flood risk based on historical or prediction analysis
- Carriageway level
- Derived relative level >> At risk hot-spots
- Aerial photography
- Geology
- Earthworks Type & Condition
- Drainage Type, location & condition

#### Hence >> Capacity Risk

= Relative level x Earthworks x Drainage





v1

#### Summary

- Definition of the Geotechnical Asset
- Asset Management Framework
- Risk based assessment options
- Data management tools
- Application of Performance Indicators and SMART data